

March 14, 1995 LB 138, 189, 295, 371, 423, 516, 520, 624
676, 863, 881
LR 77

designations, LB 750 by Senator Preister; Senator Withem, LB 371; Senator Lindsay, LB 881; Education Committee, LB 624 and LB 676; Judiciary Committee, LB 423 and LB 516; Senator Coordsen, Revenue Committee, LB 295, I should say. Transportation confirmation report signed by Senator Kristensen. New resolution, LR 77, by Senators Day, Robak, Schellpeper and Dierks. (Read.) And a motion from Senator Maurstad to place LB 863 on General File notwithstanding the action of the Judiciary Committee. (See pages 1111-17 of the Legislative Journal.)

PRESIDENT ROBAK: LB 189.

CLERK: LB 189, Madam President, by Senators Withem, Pedersen, Preister, Hartnett. (Read title.) The bill was introduced on January 9, referred to Judiciary, advanced to General File. I have committee amendments pending.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Chair recognizes Senator Lindsay to open on the committee amendments.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Thank you, Madam President, members. The committee amendments to LB 189, let me just describe what 189 does. LB 189 would add a juvenile judge in Sarpy County. I will allow Senator Withem, when he gets to the body of the bill itself, to describe the numbers that justify, at least the Judiciary Committee felt justified the addition of a juvenile judge in Sarpy County. There are also...there were several other bills in the Judiciary Committee dealing with adding judges in various places. And what the committee amendments do is merge into this bill those judgeships which they felt, the committee felt were necessary. And those places are...it would add two others, a district judge in Lancaster County and a district judge in Sarpy County. The...those were also introduced as bills themselves. Senator Crosby introduced LB 138, which would have added the district judge to Lancaster County, and Senator Avery added LB 520, which would have added a district judge to Sarpy County. These two...these two bills I mentioned were amended into, it made sense to have one bill on the floor dealing with the areas of concern as far as where the judges may be needed. With that, I'd be happy...the caseload statistics we'll have around on the body of the bill, but I'd be happy to try to answer any questions that you might have regarding the committee amendments.